Recent Developments in the Transmission of Human Life

19-21 January 2023 Berlin, Germany

Welcome to all Participants





What is the balance of implantation failure due to embryo competence versus endometrial receptivity?

Result of extrapolation analysis



www.scientificseminars.com

Recent Developments in the Transmission of Human Life







I have no potential conflict of interest to declare

www.scientificseminars.com



What needs to be done to validate the diagnosis of RIF? What evidence is needed to be compelling?



nnovation

Many studies saying what causes RIF Few studies defining what it is!...



DEFINITION - RIF

RIF = Recurrent Implantation Failure 76 different definitions (Polanski et al 2014)



X 3

3 or more embryo transfers

nnovation

Margaiot et al 2006; Simon and Laufer 2012; Polanski et al 2014; Coughlan C. et al 2014





X 4

4 blastocysts transfered



DEFINITION - Potential causes

2.



1. Chromosomal abnormality



Endometrial factors

- Receptivity
- Endometriosis
- Immunological

Innovation



Li et al, 2019; Paria et al, 2001 s *Lessey et al, 2017; Moreno et al, 2018* al *Gaynor et al, 2017; Di Pietro et al, 2018*



30% remains unexplained

PGT

Freeze all

Day 5 transfer

Endo thickness & pattern

Cavity normalization

Ultrasound-guided transfer

Soft catheters

Day 3 transfer

IR in 1980

Innovation

Implantation Rate





What we know / What we don't know ?



Live birth rates = 43 - 77%

With frozen euploid embryos

Scott et al, 2013; Forman et al, 2013; Capalbo et al, 2014; Dahdouh et al, 2015

Not all euploid embryos implant

RIF / implantation failure causes ?

Persistent endometrial factors ?



nnovation











To determine the true prevalence of RIF in women undergoing 3 successive frozen euploid single embryo transfers (FE-SET).

Innovation





nnovation

SEMINAL CONTRIBUTION in "FERTILITY AND STERILITY"

SEMINAL CONTRIBUTION

Rate of true recurrent implantation failure is low: results of three successive frozen euploid single embryo transfers

Paul Pirtea, M.D.,^{a,b} Dominique De Ziegler, M.D.,^b Xin Tao, Ph.D.,^c Li Sun, Ph.D.,^c Yiping Zhan, Ph.D.,^c Jean Marc Ayoubi, M.D.,^b Emre Seli, M.D.,^{a,d} Jason M. Franasiak, M.D., H.C.L.D.,^a and Richard T. Scott Jr., M.D., H.C.L.D.^a

^a IVIRMA New Jersey, Basking Ridge, New Jersey; ^b Hospital Foch, Paris, France; ^c Foundation for Embryonic Competence, Basking Ridge, New Jersey; and ^d Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut



Check for updates



MATERIAL & METHODS - Study Population





Retrospective cohort study Jan. 2006 to Jul. 2018

nnovation

RMANJ Reproductive Medecine Associates Basking Ridge, New Jersey







PGT-A qPCR and NGS based

Frozen Euploid Single Embryo Transfer (FE-SET)



Included

- 4429 patients ullet
- 19-46 years lacksquare
- 14< BMI <51 kg/m2 ullet
- Aneuploidy screening ullet
- Frozen Euploid Single Embryo Transfer FEulletSET
- Morphologic Normal Uterus \bullet



 \bullet



Excluded

Egg donation cycles Gestational carrier Indication for monogenic disease Endometrial thickness < 7 mm



Descriptive statistics



Linear regression models*

. Survival analysis

nnovation





* Adjusted for :

Age

•





Innovation





implantation: 3094 69.9%

1st Embryo Transfer







3rd Embryo Transfer



RESULTS - Implantation Rates and Live Birth



Innovation



1st Embryo Transfer

2nd Embryo Transfer

3rd Embryo Transfer













i 2 3 Number of Embryo Transfers





Innovation



P=0.143

NS when ajusted for age



nnovation

Rate of true recurrent implantation failure is low: results of three successive frozen euploid single embryo transfers

Paul Pirtea, M.D.,^{a,b} Dominique De Ziegler, M.D.,^b Xin Tao, Ph.D.,^c Li Sun, Ph.D.,^c Yiping Zhan, Ph.D.,^c Jean Marc Ayoubi, M.D.,^b Emre Seli, M.D.,^{a,d} Jason M. Franasiak, M.D., H.C.L.D.,^a and Richard T. Scott Jr., M.D., H.C.L.D.^a

^a IVIRMA New Jersey, Basking Ridge, New Jersey; ^b Hospital Foch, Paris, France; ^c Foundation for Embryonic Competence, Basking Ridge, New Jersey; and ^d Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut

100% 80% Fetal heartbeat rate

В

40%

0%

At most a 5% decline per transfer (but likely due in part to embryo quality)





Predicted Sustained Implantation Rate (SIR)

General Population

- Prevalence of RIF
 - 5%
 - 10%
- Assumes constant SIR of 70% amongst the NON-RIF patients
- Decreasing implantation rates reflects fixed number of RIF pts



"Reality" Data from Pirtea et al Fertil Steril 2020

IVIRMA Estimation of the number of unscreened good quality embryos needed to be equivalent to 3 euploid ET

Age	Observed aneuploidy rate	N
< 35	20%	
35-37	30%	
38-40	50%	
41-42	70%	
43+	85%	



umber of untested blastocysts



Women who failed to implant following a course of FE-SET do not have a marked increase incidence of failing again to implant in subsequent 2nd and 3rd FE-SET.

RIF rates following 3 successive FE-SET has an incidence of <5%.

DISCUSSION



Our data suggest that implantation failures of uterine origin are rare when euploid embryos are transferred in women with a morphologically normal uterus.







nnovation

LIMITATIONS & STRENGHTS

Retrospective nature

Some successive FE-SETs came from different ART cycles

All FE-SET over seven years

Our series is the largest reported of sequential FE-SET \rightarrow Reliably call into question the role of uterine factors in RIF



Large size of the cohort and its extensive nature

IR of the 2 subgroups after the 2nd FE-SET we noticed similar results



CONCLUSIONS

Our findings suggest that true endometrial RIF is rare when euploid embryos are transfered.

In patients who have euploid blastocysts, 95.2% achieve clinical pregnancy after 3 frozen euploid single embryo transfers.

Implantation rates decline minimally with increasing transfers.

Additional euploid embryo transfers offer hope of a good outcome.



nnovation





THE LUGANO CONSENSUS



Innovation

RECURRENT IMPLANTATION FAILURE (RIF): REALITY OR STATISTICAL

MIRAGE?

Excerpts from the July 1, 2022 Lugano Workshop on repeated implantation failures (RIF)

The RMANJ Research Team



Clinical Embryology Kathleen Upham Michael Cheng Xinying Li Rosanna Pangasnan Tian Zhao Hokyung Lee Brian Ackerman Cindy Comito David Slawny Stephanie Milne Sarah Dunn Aileene Bally

<u>Support</u>

Andrew Ruiz Andrology Laboratory RMANJ Nurses Nancy Niemaseck Lindsey McBain

<u>Clinical Research</u> Christine Whitehead Talia Metzgar

Bhavini Rana Caroline Lopes <u>Haley Congd</u>on



Desiree Greene Erin Dubell Jennifer Schutze Sylwia Pupek Jessica Wall



<u>Physicians</u> Richard Scott, MD, HCLD Scientific Director

> Paul Bergh Michael Bohrer Melissa Chu Maria Costantini Leo Doherty Michael Drews Eric Forman Jason Franasiak Linnea Goodman Rita Gulati **Doreen Hock** Kathleen Hong Marcus Jurema Dan Kaser Thomas Kim Marcy Maguire Thomas Molinaro Jamie Morris Eli Rybak Eden Rauch Wendy Schillings Shefali Shastri Susan Treiser Marie Werner Melissa Yih

Innovation

FEC

Rebekah Zimmerman, PhD Jennifer Eccles Amanda Iturriaga Heather Garnsey Jim Patterson Andrew Behrens Leslie Duffy Jamie Francisco Margaret Lebiedzinski Oksana Bendarsky Anna Czyrsznic Shannan Clogher Nicole Wilson Jennifer Zych Cassie DeWys Katherine Scott

The Treff Laboratory

Nathan Treff, PhD Xin Tao Agnieszka Lonczak Chelsea Bohrer Jenna Bedard Diego Marin Richard Scott III David Goodrich Jessica Rajchel

Bioinformatics Yiping Zhan Jessica Landis







Take-home messages

- Considering that euploid blastocysts have a chance to implant of between 45% and 65% regardless of age
- The unsuccessful transfer of 3–4 euploid blastocysts is required to diagnose RIF (as then

the expected cumulative probability exceeds 95%) (1)

Support for different thresholds for research vs. clinical investigation of RIF

- Somigliana et al. RBI
- ASRM Practice Committee 2012.

THANK YOU





WWW.SCIENTIFICSEMINARS.COM