# **Recent Developments in the Transmission of Human Life**

# Polyps and embryo implantation

## Roberto Palermo, M.D. M.Sc.









# olyps and embryo implantation Roberto Palermo, MD, MSc

**Italy** 

www.scientificseminars.com

# **Recent Developments in the Transmission of Human Life**





## I have no potential conflict of interest to declare

www.scientificseminars.com



# DEFINITION

## **HYPERPLASTIC OVERGROWTHS OF ENDOMETRIAL GLANDS AND STROMA AROUNDA VASCULA CORE**

- FOCAL
- SESSILE
- PEDUNCOLATED PROJECTIONS
- MOSTLY BENIGN
- ATYPICAL HYPERPLASIA (3,8%)

### **MACROSCOPIC ASPECTS**

- MOSTLY (80%), SINGLE
- MULTIPLE (20%)
- FEW MILLIMETERS TO CENTIMETERS
- THE MAJORITY ARISE FROM THE FUNDUS (55,8%); CORNUAL MUCOSA (29,4%)
- OCCASIONALLY. PEDUNCOLATED, BEYOND THE EXTERNAL CERVICAL ORIFICE



## **HYSTOPATHOLOGY**

- STROMA (DENSE, FIBROUS TISSUE) AND GLANDS
- VACULAR CORE
- SUPERFICIAL EPITHELIUM
- SMOOTH MUSCLE TISSUE (SOME CASES)

**FIVE CATEGORIES** 

- HYPERPLASTIC
- ATROPHIC (postmenopausal)
- FUNCTIONAL
- ADENOMATOUS
- PSEUDOPOLYPS



https://www.pathologyoutlines.com/topic/uterusendopolyp.html



## **EPIDEMIOLOGY**

 THE ACTUAL PREVALENCE OF ENDOMETRIAL IN GENERALE **POPULATION POLYPS IS UNKNOWN** 

- IT IS ESTIMATED THAT EP MAY AFFECT WOMEN FROM 7,8% TO 34,9% (Salim et al., 2011)
- IT IS HIGHER IN POSTMENOPAUSAL WOMEN (11,8%) THAN IN PREMENOPAUSAL (5,8%)
- IN SUBFERTILE WOMEN SEEMS TO BE HIGHER (UP TO 32%)





### **1000 Office-Based Hysteroscopies Prior to In Vitro Fertilization: Feasibility and Findings**

Mary D. Hinckley, MD, Amin A. Milki, MD

JSLS 2004; 8:103-107; 2004

## Findings of 1000 Office Hysteroscopies Prior to IVF

**FINDINGS** 

Normal Findings

#### **Endometrial Polyps**

Submucous Fibroids

**Intrauterine Adhesions** 

Polypoid Endometrium

Septum

**Bicornuate uterus** 

**Retained Products of conception** 

CASES
618 (62%)
323 (32%)
27 (3%)
25 (3%)
9 (0.9%)
5 (0.5%)
3 (0.3%)
3 (0.3%)



• AGE (PREVALENCE) (AAGL, 2012)

• OBESITY & TAMOXIFEN (Kossaï et al., 2020)

• GENETIC AND HEREDITARY (CHROMOSOME 6 AND 20) (Nijang et al., 2019)

THERE ARE RISK FACTORS

- IATROGENIC (?)
- HORMONAL FACTORS (Aromatase expression; ERs and PRs)
- INFLAMMATION (MMPs)
- MULTIFACTORIAL (Nijkang et ., 2019) • GENETIC (Chromosome 6 and 12; protein p53)

# PATHOGENESIS

THE CAUSE OF ENDOMETRIAL POLYPS IS UNKNOWN



# **Endometrial polyps**



### **NATURAL HYSTORY**

EP MAY REGRESS, PERSIST, ENLARGE, MALIGNANT TRANSFERMATION

REGRESSION RATE OF (Lienget al., 2009):

- 26,7% AFTER 1 YEAR FU WHEN MEAN DIAMETER WAS 10,7 mm
- 4,4 % AFTER 1 YEAR FU WHEN MEAN DIAMETER WAS 15,1 mm

MENOPAUSAL STATUS (Wor	ng et al., 2017)	
	Persisted	Regre
PREMENOPAUSAL (%)	39 (37)	6 (8
POSTMENOPAUSAL (%)	66 (63)	1 (1

P<0,0029 essed 86) 0.016 4)



## **CLINICAL PRESENTATION**

THE MAJORITY OF EP ARE ASYMPTOMATIC

## WHEN SYMPTOMATIC

- □ BLEEDING
- In postmenopausal, EP can be identified as a cause of AUB in 30% of cases (Cohen et al., 1999) ullet
- Intermenstrual bleeding is the most frequent complaint in 13% to 50% of women suffering from ulletpremenopausal bleeding (Tjarks and Van Voorhis, 2000);
- The bleeding may be due to stromal congestion within the polyp leading to venous stasis and lacksquareapical necrosis (Jakab et al., 2005)

□ INFERTILITY (15%-32%); (Hinckley et al., 2004; Taylor and Gomel, 2008; Afifi et al., 2010)



## **CLINICAL PRESENTATION: INFERTILITY**

### NATURAL PREGNANCY

 50%-78% AFTER REMOVAL OF EP IN APPARENT UNEXPLAINED INFERTITLITY (Varasteh et al., 1999; Spiewankiewicz et al., 2003; Shokeir et al., 2004)

LOCATION RELEVANT (Yanaihara et al., 2008);

- Utero-tubal junction (57,4%); •
- Posterior wall (28,5%); ullet
- Lateral wall (18,8%). ullet
- No difference after removal of small polyps (<10 mm) (Stamatellos et al., 2008) ullet



## **CLINICAL PRESENTATION: INFERTILITY**

### MAR IUI

- 1. RCT (Perez-Medina et al., 2005)
- Cumulative CPR after 4 IUI; similar EP size
- ullet
- 101 infertile patients; US diagnosed EP and removed; Pregnancy rate 63,4 103 infertile patients; US diagnosed EP with biopsed; Pregnancy rate 28,2 ullet
- 2. RETROSPETIVE STUDY (Kalampoks et al., 2012)
- Cumulative CPR after 3 IU similar EP size ullet
- ullet
- ullet

86 infertile patients; US diagnosed EP and removed; Pregnancy rate 40,7 85 infertile patients; US diagnosed EP and not removed; Pregnancy rate 63,4



## **CLINICAL PRESENTATION: INFERTILITY**

### MAR IVF/ICSI

FIVE, ONLY RETROSPETIVE STUDIES

- Lass et al., 1999 •
- Isikoglu et al., 2006 ullet
- Check et al., 2011 ullet
- Tiras et al., 2012 ullet
- Elias et al., 2015 ullet

No statistical difference in clinical pregnancy rates in any study



Association between Endometrial Polyps and Chronic Endometritis: Is It Time for a Paradigm Shift in the Pathophysiology of Endometrial Polyps in Pre-Menopausal Women? Results of a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

(Vitagliano, A, et al., Diagnostics **2021**, 11, 2182)



Forest plot. Prevalence of chronic endometritis in pre-menopausal women with endometrial polyps.



eviation	Proportion (%)	95% CI
240	76,667	70,795 to 81,867
40	80,000	64,352 to 90,948
69	55,072	42,619 to 67,077
349	72,918	67,954 to 77,493
349	70,735	55,792 to 83,683
I <sup>2</sup> (incons	istency)	84,00%
95% CI fo	or I <sup>2</sup>	51,99 to 94,67



#### Association between Endometrial Polyps and Chronic Endometritis: Is It Time for a Paradigm Shift in the Pathophysiology of Endometrial Polyps in Pre-Menopausal Women? Results of a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

(Vitagliano, A, et al., Diagnostics **2021**, 11, 2182)

	Endometrial	Polyps	Non-polypoid Endo	metrium		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 9
Cicinelli et al 2019	148	240	58	240	38.3%	5.05 [3.40,
Guo et al 2021	77	174	30	103	34.8%	1.93 [1.15,
Nomiyama et al 2021	36	69	13	46	26.9%	2.77 [1.25,
Total (95% CI)		483		389	100.0%	3.07 [1.59,
Total events	261		101			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	0.26; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 8.67	, df = 2 (P	P = 0.01); I <sup>2</sup> = 77%			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 3.34 (P = 0.0)	(800	3			

Forest plot. Women with endometrial polyps versus women with a non-polypoid endometrium: prevalence of chronic endometritis.

From a molecular point of view, chronic inflammation may promote EPs development by distorting the signaling pathways that control endometrial tissue proliferation



## **CLINICAL PRESENTATION: INFERTILITY**

2018

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other blas



Bosteels J, van Wessel S, Weyers S, Broekmans FJ, D'Hooghe TM, Bongers MY, Mol BWJ

Figure 6. Forest plot of comparison: 2 Hysteroscopic removal of polyps vs diagnostic hysteroscopy and biopsy only prior to intrauterine insemination. Outcome: 2.1 Clinical pregnancy per woman randomised.

Operative hysteroscopy No su		No surg	ery		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Risk of Bias	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
2.1.1 Hysteroscopic po	lypectomy vs diagno	stic hyst	eroscopy	and b	iopsy only	prior to intrauterine insemina	ation	a substantia and
Pérez-Medina 2005 (1) Subtotal (95% CI)	64	101 101	29	103 <b>10</b> 3	100.0% 1 <b>00.0</b> %	4.41 [2.45, 7.96] 4.41 [2.45, 7.96]		
Total events	64		29					
Heterogeneity: Not appli	icable							
Test for overall effect Z:	= 4.93 (P ≺ 0.00001)							
Total (95% CI)		101		103	100.0%	4.41 [2.45, 7.96]	-	-
Total events Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect Z:	64 icable = 4.93 (P ≺ 0.00001)		29				0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Favours no surgery Favours oper hyst	10 eroscopy
Feetror subgroup diπeri	ences: Not applicable	9					Risk of bias lagend	
<u>r outilities</u> /1) The intervention was the bysteroscopic removal of polyne. The comparison arm was simple diagnostic					(A) Random sequence generation (selection	hias)		
(i) the intervention was all represented on porgra. The comparison all mass simple angligate				(R) Allocation concealment (selection bias)	101007			
							(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (p (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detect	erformance bias) tion bias)
							(E) Incomplete outcome deta (attrition biac)	1990 M 2010 M 2010



Cochrane

**Cochrane** Database of Systematic Reviews



1. Operative hysteroscopy versus control in women with otherwise unexplained subfertility and suspected major uterine cavity abnormalities

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison.

Submucous fibroids One study compared hysteroscopic myomectomy versus no surgery in women with unexplained subfertility and submucous fibroids only or combined with intramural fibroids (Casini 2006).

We graded the evidence of the trial on hysteroscopic polypectomy as low (Pérez-Medina 2005): we downgraded by one level for serious risk of bias related to a high risk of selective outcome reporting (see Assessment of risk of bias in included studies). We downgraded by one level for serious imprecision given the wide CIs of the point estimate of the treatment effect.



#### Endometrial polyps

The search identified no studies on endometrial polyps.



#### ENDOMETRIAL POLYPS AFFECT UTERINE RECEPTIVITY Beth W. Rackow, MD, Elisa Jorgensen, BS, and Hugh S. Taylor, MD Fertil Steril. 2011 June 30; 95(8): 2690–2692



Uteri with endometrial polyps demonstrated a marked decrease in HOXA10 and HOXA11mRNA levels that may impair implantation; these findings suggest a molecular mechanism to support clinical findings of diminished pregnancy rates in women with endometrial polyps.



## **CLINICAL PRESENTATION: INFERTILITY**

**NEVERTHELESS**:

REMOVAL OF ENDOMETRIAL POLYPS WAS RECOMENDED IF THEY ARE IDENTIFIED IN INFERTILE WOMEN

- Taylor and Gomel 2008 ullet
- Afifi et al., 2010 ullet
- Pereira et., 2015 ullet



Endometrial polyps. An evidence-based diagnosis and management guide

S.G.Vitale, S. Haimovich A.S.Laganà L. Alonso, A. Di Spiezio, J. Carugno From the Global Community of Hysteroscopy Guidelines Committee EJOG VOLUME 260, P 70-77, MAY 01, 20211

### Reccomandations

**TVUS** in infertile patients

In office hysteroscopy highest accuracy

Hysteroscopic polipectomy feasible and safe with r formation

Polypectomy does not compromise reproductive ou subsequent MAR

Remove of EP < 2 cm in premenopausal women w of endometrial cancer

Hystopathology is mandatory

EP might alter endometrial receptivity

Avoid blind D&C

LE= level of evidence

	LE
	В
	В
no adesion	В
utcome with	В
ith risk factors	В
	В
	С
	Α





Review

#### Endometrial Polyps: Update Overview on Etiology, Diagnosis, Natural **History and Treatment**

Mariana De Cunha Vieira<sup>1</sup>, Amerigo Vitagliano<sup>2,\*</sup>, Mariana Costa Rossette<sup>3</sup>, Luiz Cavalcanti de Albuquerque Neto<sup>3</sup>, Alessandra Gallo<sup>4</sup>, Attilio Di Spiezio Sardo<sup>4</sup>



*Clin. Exp. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2022**; 49(10): 232 https://doi.org/10.31083/j.ceog4910232



**Title of your presentation** 

# **Take-home messages**

- ✓ EP may interfere with natural conception The mechanism(s) is (are) unknown Women with unexplained infertility may benefit from EP removal
- Women planning IUI may benefit from EP removal More prospective clinical studies are needed
- There is non consensus about proper management
- Management of EP should be individualized according the patient situation and balancing benefit with risks

# THANK YOU



